

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/973,842	10/11/2001	Tetsuji Togawa	2001-1521A	7718
513	7590 09/04/2003			
	TH, LIND & PONACK,	EXAMINER		
2033 K STRE SUITE 800	ET N. W.	ROSE, ROBERT A		
	ON, DC 20006-1021			
***************************************	11, 20 2000 1021		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3723	
			DATE MAILED: 09/04/2003	11
				7

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/973,842

Applicant(s)

Togawa et al

Examiner

**Robert Rose** 

Art Unit **3723** 



	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the	cover	sheet with	the correspondence address		
Period 1	for Reply						
	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	TO E	XPIRE	one	_ MONTH(S) FROM		
	ions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In a date of this communication.	no even	t, howev	er, may a reply	be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the		
- If the p - If NO p - Failure - Any re	period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply a to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	nd will e e applic	expire SIX	(6) MONTHS become ABANI	from the mailing date of this communication. DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status							
1) 💢	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10-11-01,	7-15	-02				
2a) 🗌	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This act	ion is	non-fi	nal.			
3) 🗆	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposi	tion of Claims						
4) 💢	Claim(s) <u>1-62</u>		-		is/are pending in the application.		
4	la) Of the above, claim(s)				is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
5) 🗆	Claim(s)				is/are allowed.		
6)□	Claim(s)				is/are rejected.		
7) 🗆	Claim(s)				is/are objected to.		
8) 💢	Claims <u>1-62</u>	_		are subjec	t to restriction and/or election requirement.		
Applica	ition Papers						
9) 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	a) 🗌	acce	pted or b	$\square$ objected to by the Examiner.		
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the d	rawin	g(s) be	held in ab	eyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on			is: a) 🗆	approved b) $\square$ disapproved by the Examiner.		
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to	o this	Office	action.			
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exami	ner.					
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign processing the second sec	riority	under	35 U.S.C	:. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).		
a)[	☐ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐ None of:						
	1. $\square$ Certified copies of the priority documents hav	e bee	n rece	ived.			
	2. $\square$ Certified copies of the priority documents hav	e bee	n rece	ived in Ap	pplication No		
	<ol> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the priority de application from the International Bure</li> </ol>	au (P	CT Rul	e 17.2(a))			
	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the						
14) 📙	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic		-				
a)L	3 3 3 5						
15)∐	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	prior	ity unc	ler 35 U.S	.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.		
Attachm	nent(s) otice of References Cited (PTO-892)	Λı [	 	C	TO 4131 Pener Note)		
_	otice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)			•	TO-413) Paper No(s)		
	Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  Notice of Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 2.3  6) Other:						
, -							

Application/Control Number: 09/973842

Art Unit: 3723

## **DETAILED ACTION**

- 1. Receipt is acknowledged of Applicant's Prior Art Statements, filed October 11, 2001, and July 15, 2002, respectively.
- 2. Claims 1-62 are presented for examination.
- 3. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - I. Claims 1-60, drawn to a polishing apparatus, classified in class 451, subclass 288.
  - II. Claims 61-62, drawn to a method of polishing, classified in class 451, subclass 41.
- 4. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons: Inventions I and II are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the method can be performed by another materially different apparatus, such as one lacking a top ring body, wherein the substrate is freely supported in a carrier between polishing pads.
- 5. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 6. Claims 1, and 60-62 are generic to a plurality of disclosed patentably distinct species comprising:

Group A(Figures 1-6)

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/973842 Page 3

Art Unit: 3723

Group B(Figures 7-11)

Group C(Figures 12-13)

Group D(Figure 14).

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species, even though this requirement is traversed.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

- 7. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- 8. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Art Unit: 3723

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Robert Rose at telephone number (703) 308-1360.

rr

August 22, 2003.

ROBERT A. ROSE PRIMARY EXAMINER

ARTUNIT 323